



DHS/ICE

Detention and Removal Operations Report

Required by Section 903 of the

Haitian Refugee Immigration Fairness Act (PL 105-277)

December 4, 2009

Message from the Assistant Secretary

December 4, 2009



I am pleased to present the Fiscal Year 2008 report titled, "Detained Asylum Seekers" prepared by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

This report responds to the requirement contained in Section 903 of the Haitian Refugee Immigration Fairness Act (HRIFA), P.L. 105-277.

As you review this report, I would like to draw your attention to the fact that the number of detainees seeking asylum declined 40.5 percent over prior year.

Pursuant to our obligations in the HRIFA, I am transmitting a copy of this report to the following members of Congress:

The Honorable Patrick J. Leahy
Chairman, Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Jeff Sessions
Ranking Member, Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Charles E. Schumer
Chairman, Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration, Border Security and Refugees

The Honorable John Cornyn
Ranking Member, Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration, Border Security and Refugees

The Honorable John Conyers, Jr.
Chairman, House Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Lamar S. Smith
Ranking Member, House Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Zoe Lofgren
Chairman, House Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration, Citizenship, Refugees, Border Security, and International Law

The Honorable Steve King
Ranking Member, House Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration, Citizenship,
Refugees, Border Security, and International Law

Inquiries about the contents of this report should be directed to Angie L. Williams at
(202) 732-██████████

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Morton", with a stylized, flowing script.

John Morton
Assistant Secretary
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement



Homeland Security

Foreword

The Department of Homeland Security is pleased to provide the enclosed report on detained asylum seekers. This report covers Fiscal Year 2008. In accordance with section 903 of the Haitian Refugee Immigration Fairness Act of 1998, Pub. L. No. 105-277, this report is being provided to the following Members of Congress:

The Honorable Patrick J. Leahy
Chairman, Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Jeff Sessions
Ranking Member, Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Charles E. Schumer
Chairman, Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration, Border Security and Refugees

The Honorable John Cornyn
Ranking Member, Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration, Border Security and Refugees

The Honorable John Conyers, Jr.
Chairman, House Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Lamar S. Smith
Ranking Member, House Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Zoe Lofgren
Chairman, House Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration, Citizenship, Refugees, Border Security, and International Law

The Honorable Steve King
Ranking Member, House Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration, Citizenship, Refugees, Border Security, and International Law

Executive Summary

Section 903 of the Haitian Refugee Immigration Fairness Act of 1998 (HRIFA) requires the Department of Homeland Security to regularly collect and present to Congress data on asylum applicants in detention. The enclosed report on detained asylum seekers covers fiscal year 2008.

To fulfill this requirement, the Office of Detention and Removal Operations (DRO) used the ICE Integrated Decision Support (IIDS) reporting system.

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND..... 1

III. ORIGIN OF DATA SOURCES2

IV. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS.....3

I. INTRODUCTION

Section 903 of the Haitian Refugee Immigration Fairness Act of 1998, Pub. L. No. 105-277, requires the Secretary of Homeland Security to regularly collect data with respect to asylum applicants in detention.¹ This Act specified several areas requiring statistical compilations and one area requiring a non-quantitative response. This report takes account of the asylum applicants who initially made a claim for asylum in fiscal year (FY) 2008, including both principal applicants and any dependents. It also provides information on actions taken on the reported cases through the date the report was produced in April 2009.

The format of this report has changed slightly from previous versions because it was produced utilizing U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) new statistical reporting system, the ICE Integrated Decision Support (IIDS). In ICE's efforts to make the report more readable, wherever possible, the three detained asylum seeker categories were combined into one table for ease of viewing.

II. BACKGROUND

Aliens present in the United States may apply for asylum affirmatively with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) or defensively before an immigration judge as a form of relief from removal after being issued a Notice to Appear (NTA) or an I-863, Notice of Referral to Immigration Judge.² Aliens found to have a "credible fear"³ of persecution or torture during expedited removal (ER) processing under section 235(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) may apply for asylum before an immigration judge.⁴ In addition, affirmative asylum applicants whose applications are not approved by USCIS are referred to an immigration judge for a *de novo* hearing in removal proceedings, unless the alien has continued legal status in the United States. Aliens subject to ER or who have been issued an NTA may be detained, but the custody considerations applicable to an individual asylum applicant vary based upon how the alien was processed and the procedural posture of the alien's case.

In practice, only a very small number of affirmative asylum applicants are detained. On the other hand, many defensive applicants—and nearly all aliens who request asylum during ER processing—are detained for at least some portion of the processing of their immigration cases. Indeed, for ER purposes, custody is statutorily mandated until an alien is found to have a "credible fear" of persecution or torture after which ICE has discretion in determining whether or

¹ While section 903 specifically references the responsibility of the Attorney General, on March 1, 2003, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) assumed the functions and authorities of the Department of Justice's (DOJ) Immigration and Naturalization Service, including the detention of aliens.

² Certain aliens, such as aliens admitted under the Visa Waiver Program, crewmembers and stowaways, are not placed into removal proceedings, but rather are placed into "asylum-only" proceedings before an immigration judge through the issuance of an I-863.

³ The INA defines "credible fear of persecution" as follows: "[T]here is a significant possibility, taking into account the credibility of the statements made by the alien in support of the alien's claim and such other facts as are known to the officer, that the alien could establish eligibility for asylum." INA §235(b)(1)(B)(v), 8 U.S.C. §1225(b)(1)(B)(v).

⁴ Aliens found not to have a credible fear of persecution may appeal that finding before an immigration judge. The referral to an immigration judge is to review credible fear determination only, not to address removability or inadmissibility grounds.

not to release the alien under section 235(b)(1)(B)(iii)(IV) of the INA, 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(1)(B)(iii)(IV).

Detained aliens' asylum cases receive expedited consideration before DOJ's Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR). Arriving aliens processed through ER are subject to mandatory detention until they are found by a USCIS asylum officer to have a credible fear of persecution or torture. If they are determined to have a credible fear, they may be eligible for parole under section 212(d)(5)(A) of the INA and 8 C.F.R. § 212.5. Arriving aliens in removal proceedings may be paroled into the United States and released from custody by ICE under the parole authority, but are not eligible to request bond redetermination hearings before EOIR. ICE's parole determinations are based on humanitarian and public interest factors, such as serious medical conditions. Other aliens in removal proceedings are generally eligible to request bond determination hearings before EOIR, which are generally heard within two to three days.⁵

III. ORIGIN OF DATA SOURCES

The statistics in this report were drawn from several different databases. Data regarding affirmative asylum applications is contained in the Refugee Asylum Parole System, maintained by USCIS. Credible fear data is contained in the Asylum Pre-screening System (APSS), maintained by USCIS. Defensive asylum data is currently contained in the Automated Nationwide System for Immigration Review system, maintained by EOIR. Information from each of these databases was matched to information contained in the Enforcement Case Tracking System (ENFORCE) Integrated Database through the ENFORCE Alien Removal Module (EARM). EARM allows Deportation Officers and administrative support to effectively manage dockets and caseloads. The system is maintained by Electronic Data Systems (EDS) and the servers are housed at the Stennis Data Center in Gulfport, Mississippi, and the Rockville Data Center in Rockville, Maryland.

On August 11, 2008, ICE replaced its case management system, the Deportable Alien Control System (DACS). The replacement of DACS was accomplished in two phases beginning with the deployment of the ENFORCE Alien Detention Module (EADM). EADM replaced the detention functionality of DACS on September 30, 2007. The replacement of DACS was finalized with the deployment of the EARM system, which replaced the case management functions of DACS on August 11, 2008. The new applications draw data from the ENFORCE Integrated Database, utilize new technology, and provide enhanced reporting capabilities.

As in any record matching exercise, there is the possibility that records were not correctly matched across the systems. None of the systems contains biometric data. The only common field found throughout each system is the alien identification number (A-number).

Readers should use caution in interpreting these statistics; in particular, comparisons across different years (i.e., comparing the statistics in this report to the statistics in previous reports) could produce erroneous conclusions. Since the statistics were compiled using detention and outcome data at a different point in time each year, the average length of stay statistics and proportions in various outcome classes are not strictly comparable because elapsed time in a case has a significant impact on the status of the case.

⁵ But see mandatory detention provisions at INA § 236(c) and 8 C.F.R. § 1003.19(h)(2)(i) (2009).

IV. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Section 903 imposes ten reporting requirements, nine of which are statistical. The complete detail for these requests is attached as a series of statistical tables. The statistical report includes a statistical table for each numbered subparagraph of Section 903, except (6), which does not require a detailed table.

A short summary of the main findings for FY 2008 is included here.

(1) The number of detainees

- (a) 103 of the 24,760 affirmative asylum applicants were detained.
- (b) 4,606 of the 9,305 aliens found to have met the “credible fear” screening standard were detained.
- (c) 3,771 of the 9,446 defensive asylum applicants were detained.

(2) An identification of the countries of origin of the detainees

- (a) The top three countries for affirmative asylum applicants who were detained are Mexico (23), People’s Republic of China (10) and Guatemala (8).
- (b) The top three countries for aliens found to have met the credible fear screening standard, who were detained are El Salvador (852), People’s Republic of China (595), and Honduras (553).
- (c) The top three countries for defensive asylum applicants who were detained are People’s Republic of China (483), El Salvador (433), and Guatemala (307).

(3) The percentage of each gender within the total number of detainees

- (a) Females make up 8 percent of the affirmative asylum applicants who were detained.
- (b) Females make up 45 percent of aliens found to have met the credible fear screening standard who were detained.
- (c) Females make up 44 percent of the defensive asylum applicants who were detained.

(4) The number of detainees listed by each year of age of the detainees

- (a) The average age for affirmative asylum applicants who were detained is 34.
- (b) The average age for aliens found to have met the credible fear screening standard who were detained is 27.
- (c) The average age for defensive asylum applicants who were detained is 30.

(5) The location of each detainee by detention facility

- (a) Florida is the leading state for detention of affirmative asylum applicants.
- (b) Texas is the leading state for detention of aliens found to have met the credible fear screening standard.
- (c) Texas is the leading state for detention of defensive asylum applicants.

(6) With respect to each facility where detainees are held, whether the facility is also used to detain criminals and whether any of the detainees are held in the same cells as criminals

In September 2000, ICE announced 36 National Detention Standards (NDS) applicable to facilities used to hold detainees for more than 72 hours. These facilities include ICE-owned Service Processing Centers (SPC), Contract Detention Facilities (CDF), and state or local government facilities used by ICE through Intergovernmental Service Agreements (IGSAs).

During 2001, ICE provided training covering the NDS to DRO employees nationwide. In January 2002, ICE implemented the Detention Management Control Program (DMCP). The DMCP was intended to ensure that facilities used by ICE to house detainees are safe, secure, and humane. The DMCP is designed to measure facility compliance with the NDS. Two additional NDS were later created to bring the total to 38 NDS. ICE has developed 41 performance-based adult National Detention Standards (PBNDS). These standards are based in part on the NDS that were developed in 2000, but have been updated to reflect industry standards, current concerns and the legal climate. ICE worked closely with the NGO Community in developing the PBNDS. The new PBNDS will have 41 revised Detention Standards, four of which are new including: News Media Interviews and Tours (formerly part of Visitation), Searches of Detainees, Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention, and Staff Training. The ICE PBNDS, introduced in December, 2008, reflect more than three years of effort by DRO, DIHS, OPLA and non-governmental organizations. Unlike the NDS which focuses on what is to be done, the PBNDS focuses on results and outcomes that the required procedures are expected to accomplish. The Expected Outcome each detention standard is intended to produce is stated, not assumed. Prescribed Expected Practices represent what is to be done to accomplish those Expected Outcomes. The application of the PBNDS to the ICE/DRO Detention system will ensure that ICE/DRO continues to abide by the highest standards in national detention management.

As part of the detention reform effort, ICE is further improving the PBNDS standards as they apply to our largest facilities. Efforts include increasing the quality and quantity of recreation and visitation and normalizing detainee clothing to shift from a penal model. Special emphasis is also being given to ensuring generous access to telephones, law libraries, and services provided by pro bono counsel.

ICE NDS and PBNDS address the requirements for the designation and classification of detainees. Policy states that facilities shall develop and implement a system for classifying detainees in accordance with ICE policy, rules, and guidelines. The classification system created through ICE standards ensures that each detained alien is placed in the appropriate category and physically separated from detainees in other categories. ICE standards require that all detainees be classified before being admitted into the general population of a facility. The facility staff is to use the most reliable, objective information from the detainee's file during the classification process. "Objective" information refers to facts, such as the current offense, past offenses, escapes, institutional disciplinary history, and violent episodes.

The classification system was designed to assign detainees to the least restrictive housing unit consistent with facility safety and security. By grouping detainees by classification together the system reduces non-criminal and nonviolent detainee exposure to physical and psychological dangers. Detainees are assigned housing, offered recreational activities, assigned work according to their classification level, and provided food services and medical care.

SPCs, most CDFs and IGSA facilities house both criminal and non-criminal aliens who are separated based on the NDS/PBNDS, as detailed above. ICE has contracted facilities intended to hold only non-criminal detainees. These are the Broward Transitional Center in Pompano Beach, Florida, and CDF Elizabeth in Elizabeth, New Jersey. In addition, ICE has IGSA's with Berks County, Pennsylvania, and Don T. Hutto in Taylor, Texas for family shelter services.⁶

⁶ As of September 17, 2009, the Hutto facility no longer holds family units, but, instead, now houses females. ICE has no plans to harden conditions even though Hutto is no longer a family facility.

ICE no longer has the responsibility for the care and custody of unaccompanied minors. Section 235 of the William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008 amended the Homeland Security Act by transferring responsibility for the care and custody of unaccompanied alien children to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.⁷

In December 2006, the DHS, Office of the Inspector General (OIG) advised ICE that classification of detainees in certain locations did not meet the requirements of the NDS.⁸ The report identified deficiencies such as detainees being misclassified or not classified for placement in general housing populations. As a result, in April 2007, ICE reissued a directive to each Field Office Director to ensure that proper classification procedures were in place at all detention facilities used to house ICE detainees. In response to the April 2007 memo, Field Office Directors confirmed that all SPCs, CDFs, and IGSA providers fully complied with the ICE National Detention Standard and Performance Based National Detention Standard for Detainee Classification System respectively.

The directive stressed the importance that all detainees be housed in environments appropriate to their criminal or non-criminal history, and that they are not placed in a general housing unit without being properly evaluated and classified using those procedures outlined in the ICE Detainee Classification Standard. Each Field Office Director was required to review their current classification and housing assignment practices in place for detainees under their jurisdiction, and take any corrective action necessary to ensure compliance with the NDS Detainee Classification Standard.

(7) The number and frequency of the transfers of detainees between detention facilities

- (a) 66.99 percent of affirmative asylum applicants who were detained were held in only one facility.
- (b) 42.75 percent of aliens found to have met the credible fear screening standard, who were detained, were held in only one facility.
- (c) 64.36 percent of defensive asylum applicants who were detained were held in only one facility.

(8) The average length of detention and the number of detainees by category of the length of detention

- (a) The average length of stay for affirmative asylum applicants who had been detained and then released was 48 days; 85 percent of all affirmative asylum applicants who were detained had 90 or fewer days in detention.
- (b) The average length of stay for aliens found to have met the credible fear screening standard who had been detained and then released was 81 days; 75 percent of all aliens found to have met the credible fear screening standard who were detained had 90 or fewer days in detention.
- (c) The average length of stay for defensive asylum applicants who had been detained and then released was 130 days; 52 percent of all defensive asylum applicants who were detained had 90 or fewer days in detention.

(9) The rate of release from detention of detainees for each ICE area of responsibility.

⁷ William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008, Pub.L. No. 110-457, 122 Stat. 5044.

⁸ Treatment of Immigration Detainees Housed at ICE Facilities, OIG-07-01 (2007)

See detailed statistics attached.

(10) A description of the disposition of cases

- (a) Less than 0.01 percent (10 out of 24,760) of affirmative asylum applicants were detained and ultimately granted relief.
- (b) 4.64 percent (432 out of 9,305) of aliens found to have met the credible fear screening standard were detained and ultimately granted relief.
- (c) 7.17 percent (677 out of 9,446) of defensive asylum applicants were detained and ultimately granted relief.

(1) The number of detainees

Asylum Type	Detained	Total Asylum Seekers
Affirmative	103	24,760
Credible Fear	4,606	9,305
Defensive	3,771	9,446

(2) An identification of the countries of origin of the detainees

Asylum Type	Affirmative	Credible Fear	Defensive
Country	Detained	Detained	Detained
Total	103	4,606	3,771
AFGHANISTAN		1	17
ALBANIA		7	13
ANGOLA		5	3
ARGENTINA	2	11	4
ARMENIA		41	35
ARUBA			1
AUSTRALIA			1
AUSTRIA	1	2	2
BAHAMAS			4
BANGLADESH	1	9	18
BELARUS	1		4
BELGIUM			1
BELIZE		17	7
BENIN		2	
BHUTAN		3	2
BOLIVIA		15	5
BOSNIA-HERZEGOVINA			13
BRAZIL		126	26
BULGARIA		7	3
BURMA	1	69	68
BURUNDI		2	3
CAMBODIA			5
CAMEROON		7	16
CANADA		2	1
CAPE VERDE			1
CAYMAN ISLANDS		1	
CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC		2	2
CHAD		4	5
CHILE		13	1
CHINA, PEOPLES REPUBLIC OF	10	595	483
COLOMBIA	4	74	82
CONGO	1	2	5
COSTA RICA		10	4
CROATIA			1
CUBA		1	45
CZECHOSLOVAKIA		1	1
DEM REP OF THE CONGO		7	4
DENMARK			1
DJIBOUTI			2
DOMINICA			1
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC		56	24
ECUADOR	1	88	19
EGYPT	1	4	12
EL SALVADOR	4	852	433
ERITREA		170	93
ETHIOPIA	2	74	70
FIJI			8
FRANCE		1	1
FRENCH POLYNESIA			1
GABON		1	2

(2) An identification of the countries of origin of the detainees

Asylum Type	Affirmative	Credible Fear	Defensive
Country	Detained	Detained	Detained
GEORGIA		9	5
GERMANY			2
GHANA		9	14
GREECE		2	4
GRENADA			1
GUATEMALA	8	430	307
GUINEA	1	7	26
GUINEA-BISSAU			1
GUYANA	1	9	17
HAITI	7	108	188
HONDURAS	1	553	213
HONG KONG			1
HUNGARY		1	1
INDIA	3	62	44
INDONESIA	2	3	24
IRAN	2	16	39
IRAQ	1	196	206
ISRAEL		3	7
ITALY		1	1
IVORY COAST		3	10
JAMAICA		8	59
JAPAN			2
JORDAN		3	8
KAZAKHSTAN			1
KENYA	2	7	27
KOREA			1
KUWAIT		1	3
KYRGYZSTAN			2
LAOS		2	17
LATVIA		1	1
LEBANON	1	1	9
LIBERIA		2	23
LITHUANIA		2	
MACEDONIA		4	5
MADAGASCAR			1
MALAWI			2
MALAYSIA	1		5
MALI	1	2	10
MARSHALL ISLANDS			1
MAURITANIA			3
MEXICO	23	267	202
MICRONESIA, FEDERATED STATES OF			1
MOLDOVA	1		3
MONGOLIA		3	13
MOROCCO			4
NEPAL		36	25
NICARAGUA		149	48
NIGER			7
NIGERIA		14	29
NORTH KOREA			1
PAKISTAN	8	18	50

(2) An identification of the countries of origin of the detainees

Asylum Type Country	Affirmative Detained	Credible Fear Detained	Defensive Detained
PANAMA		4	3
PARAGUAY		1	1
PERU		29	22
PHILIPPINES		3	15
POLAND		2	2
PORTUGAL			1
ROMANIA		33	11
RUSSIA	1	2	14
RWANDA		1	3
SAUDI ARABIA		1	3
SENEGAL			5
SERBIA - RAPS		2	
SERBIA AND MONTENEGRO		8	8
SIERRA LEONE		5	14
SINGAPORE			2
SLOVENIA			2
SOMALIA		115	115
SOUTH AFRICA		2	3
SOUTH KOREA		1	1
SRI LANKA	1	55	85
ST. VINCENT-GRENADINES			1
SUDAN	2	3	27
SWAZILAND		1	
SWEDEN			2
SYRIA		4	6
TAIWAN		1	2
TAJIKISTAN			3
TANZANIA		1	2
THAILAND		1	7
TOGO		5	4
TONGA		1	
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		3	8
TUNISIA			1
TURKEY		16	14
TURKMENISTAN			1
UGANDA		1	11
UKRAINE	1	1	9
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES			1
UNITED KINGDOM	1	2	4
UNKNOWN	1	24	8
URUGUAY		2	3
USSR	1	1	14
UZBEKISTAN	2	2	19
VENEZUELA		35	26
VIETNAM		3	31
YEMEN		3	8
YUGOSLAVIA		17	16
ZAMBIA			1
ZIMBABWE	1	1	5

(3) The percentage of each gender within the total number of detainees

Asylum Type	Affirmative		Credible Fear		Defensive	
Gender	Detained	Detained Percent	Detained	Detained Percent	Detained	Detained Percent
Total	100	100.00%	4,606	100.00%	3,771	100.00%
Female	8	7.77%	2,064	44.81%	846	22.43%
Male	92	92.23%	2,542	55.19%	2,925	77.57%

(4) The number of detainees listed by each year of age of the detainees

Asylum Type	Affirmative	Credible Fear	Defensive
Age	103	4,606	3,771
0		9	2
1		19	5
2		25	9
3		33	14
4		40	11
5		39	9
6		39	14
7		39	3
8		39	9
9		39	15
10		21	12
11		25	9
12		33	20
13		29	22
14		33	26
15		24	29
16		23	39
17		25	70
18		97	75
19	2	192	114
20	1	245	125
21	2	207	118
22	5	194	161
23	3	208	152
24	4	220	174
25	3	202	189
26	4	196	150
27	7	179	154
28	4	182	163
29	5	170	163
30	7	181	143
31	1	148	127
32	5	150	132
33	5	121	118
34	5	138	115
35	2	126	89
36	2	119	106
37	3	90	73
38	6	81	80
39	1	72	77
40	5	67	74
41	3	54	65
42	2	51	55
43	1	51	59
44	1	45	52
45	2	34	45
46		34	36
47	2	27	38
48	3	23	34
49		21	28

(4) The number of detainees listed by each year of age of the detainees

Asylum Type Age	Affirmative 103	Credible Fear 4,606	Defensive 3,771
50	3	24	27
51	2	18	24
52		12	19
53		15	10
54		10	14
55		12	12
56		12	12
57	1	6	7
58		6	7
59		5	7
60		8	8
61		2	3
62		4	1
63	1	2	4
64		1	1
65		2	4
66			1
67		3	2
68		1	2
69		1	
> 70		3	4

(5) The location of each detainee by detention facility

State	Asylum Type Detention Facility	Affirmative 161	Credible Fear 8,491	Defensive 6,902
ALABAMA	Total	2	11	71
	ETOWAH COUNTY JAIL (AL)	2	7	29
	PERRY COUNTY CORR. CENTER		4	42
ALASKA	Total			4
	ANCHORAGE JAIL			4
ARIZONA	Total	2	913	509
	CATHOLIC SOC SVCS RFC			1
	CCA CENT.AZ.DET.CTR.		30	17
	CCA, FLORENCE CORRECTIONAL CENTER		64	39
	DEVEREAUX ARIAONA			4
	ELOY FEDERAL CONTRACT FAC	1	15	92
	FLORENCE SPC		182	87
	FLORENCE STAGING FACILITY	1	394	157
	NOGALES PROCESSING CENTER		11	
	PHOENIX DIST OFFICE		14	
	PINAL COUNTY JAIL		187	82
	SOUTHWEST KEY JUVENILE SH		1	28
	TUCSON INS HOLD ROOM		12	
	TUMBLEWEED SHELTER			2
	YAVAPAI COUNTY JAIL		3	
ARKANSAS	Total			10
	LONOKE POLICE DEPT DET.CTR			1
	MILLER COUNTY JAIL			4
	SEBASTIAN COUNTY DET CNT			1
	WASHINGTON COUNTY JAIL			4
CALIFORNIA	Total	17	777	1,199
	ALHAMBRA BHC HOSPITAL		5	
	ALHAMBRA CITY JAIL	1	46	104
	BEST WESTERN DRAGON GATE INN		3	2
	CORR.CORP.OF AMERICA - SAN DIEGO	1	408	315
	CRITTENDEN SERVICES			2
	EL CENTRO SPC		58	57
	GLENDALE POLICE DEPT		5	
	KERN COUNTY JAIL (LERDO)			32
	LOS CUST CASE		86	129
	MIRA LOMA DET.CENTER	2	31	142
	MONTEREY PARK POLICE DEPT		7	1
	PASADENA CITY JAIL	1	27	19
	POMONA CITY JAIL		1	
	SACRAMENTO COUNTY JAIL			5
	SACRAMENTO HOLD			1
	SAN BERNARDINO CO. JAIL			3
	SAN DIEGO AREA HOSPITAL		11	22
	SAN PEDRO SPC			16
	SANTA ANA CITY JAIL		13	41
	SANTA ANA COUNTY JAIL	5	52	125
	SANTA ANA DRO HOLDROOM		2	
	SANTA CLARA CO MAIN JAIL/	1	14	73
	SFR HOLD ROOM		2	1
	SND DISTRICT STAGING	1	1	3
	SOUTHWEST KEY JUV -SANJOSE		1	7
	SOUTHWEST KEY LEMON GROVE			5
	SOUTHWEST KEYS JUV. FAC.			7
	WESTMINSTER CUSTODY	1	1	4
	YOLO COUNTY JAIL			3
	YUBA COUNTY JAIL	4	3	80

(5) The location of each detainee by detention facility

State	Asylum Type Detention Facility	Affirmative 161	Credible Fear 8,491	Defensive 6,902
COLORADO	Total	5		63
	ADAMS COUNTY JAIL			1
	ARAPAHOE COUNTY JAIL			1
	CONEJOS COUNTY JAIL			2
	DENVER CONTRACT DET. FAC.	3		32
	DENVER COUNTY JAIL	1		2
	EL PASO COUNTY JAIL (CO)			2
	FREMONT COUNTY JAIL, CO			2
	JEFFERSON COUNTY JAIL			3
	MESA COUNTY JAIL			1
	MORGAN COUNTY JAIL			1
	PARK COUNTY JAIL	1		12
	SOUTHERN UTE DETENTION CENTER			1
	TELLER COUNTY JAIL			3
CONNECTICUT	Total			4
	LAFFAYETTE SHERIFF'S LOCK			3
	YORK CORR INST			1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	Total		3	8
	ORR FOSTER CARE		3	8
FLORIDA	Total	49	272	824
	BOYSTOWN		1	4
	BROWARD COUNTY JAIL			6
	COLLIER COUNTY SHERIFF			12
	COLUMBIA KENDAL HOSPITAL			1
	COMFORT SUITES HOTEL		20	2
	DUVAL/JACKSONVILLE JAIL	1		
	GLADES COUNTY DETENTION CENTER	3	22	128
	HERNANDO COUNTY JAIL	1	3	53
	JACKSON MEMORIAL HOSPITAL			2
	JACKSONVILLE SUB-OFFICE	1		
	KROME NORTH SPC	22	34	266
	KROME/MIAMI HUB			1
	METRO DADE JAIL			6
	MONROE COUNTY JAIL			5
	NASSAU COUNTY JAIL			12
	OPEN ARMS INTERNATIONAL			1
	ORANGE COUNTY JAIL			3
	PALM BEACH COUNTY JAIL	4	6	19
	PALMETTO HOSPITAL			1
	PINELLAS COUNTY JAIL			2
	WACKENHUT CORRECTIONS CORP	17	186	284
	WAKULLA COUNTY JAIL			16
GEORGIA	Total	2	24	32
	ATLANTA DIST. HOLD RM			4
	ATLANTA PRETRIAL DETN CTR	2	18	8
	STEWART DETENTION CENTER		6	20
GUAM	Total	5	21	18
	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS	5	21	17
	DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH AFFAIRS			1
HAWAII	Total	1	1	14
	HONOLULU FEDERAL DETENTION CENTER	1	1	14
IDAHO	Total			16
	ADA COUNTY JAIL			3
	BINGHAM COUNTY JAIL			1
	HAILE DET. CENTER			2
	TWIN FALLS COUNTY JAIL			10

(5) The location of each detainee by detention facility

State	Asylum Type Detention Facility	Affirmative 161	Credible Fear 8,491	Defensive 6,902
ILLINOIS	Total		54	163
	ELGIN POLICE DEPT. JAIL		5	2
	JUVENILE FACILITY/HEARTLAND			16
	MCHENRY COUNTY SHERIFF'S		32	100
	SANGAMON COUNTY JAIL			1
	STONE PARK POLICE DEPT.		14	9
	TRI-COUNTY JAIL		3	35
INDIANA	Total			4
	MARION COUNTY JAIL			3
	SOUTHWEST YOUTH VILLAGE			1
IOWA	Total			23
	HARDIN CO JAIL			10
	LINN COUNTY JAIL			3
	POLK COUNTY JAIL			6
	POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY JAIL			4
KANSAS	Total		1	
	JEFFERSON COUNTY JAIL		1	
KENTUCKY	Total			17
	BOONE COUNTY JAIL			8
	GRAYSON COUNTY JAIL, KY			9
LOUISIANA	Total	1	49	123
	BASILE DETENTION CENTER		4	21
	CALCASIEU PARISH PRISON			1
	JENA/LASALLE DETENTION FACILITY	1	34	64
	OAKDALE FED.DET.CENTER			10
	ORLEANS PARISH SHERIFF		1	15
	TENSAS PARISH DET. CNTR.		10	12
MAINE	Total		15	30
	CUMBERLAND COUNTY JAIL		15	29
	FRANKLIN COUNTY JAIL, ME			1
MARYLAND	Total		1	41
	BAL D D & P			1
	CARROLL COUNTY DETENTION CENTER		1	
	DORCHESTER CO DET CNTR			8
	FREDERICK COUNTY DET. CEN			12
	HOWARD COUNTY DET CNTR			7
	WICOMICO CO DET			3
	WORCESTER CO. JAIL			10
MASSACHUSETTS	Total		3	58
	BRISTOL CNTY NDARTMOUTH		1	13
	ESSEX CO. JAIL, MIDDLETON			3
	GREENFIELD HOUSE OF CORR.			4
	NORFLK CNTY DEDHAM			2
	PLYMOUTH COUNTY H.O.C.			11
	SUFFOLK HOC SBAY		2	25
MICHIGAN	Total	1	49	103
	BETHANY C.S., GRAND RAPIDS			2
	CALHOUN CO., BATTLE CR, MI		17	45
	DEARBORN POLICE DEPT.		19	31
	LUTHERAN SOC SERV, LANSIN			1
	MACOMB CO.MT.CLEMENS, MI.			1
	MONROE CO., MONROE, MI.		3	5
	ST. CLAIR CO., PT. HURON, MI.		1	4
	WASHTENAW CO, AN ARBOR, MI			1
	WAYNE COUNTY, DETROIT	1	9	13

(5) The location of each detainee by detention facility

State	Asylum Type Detention Facility	Affirmative 161	Credible Fear 8,491	Defensive 6,902
MINNESOTA	Total		5	100
	CARVER CO. JUVY DETENTION			1
	CARVER COUNTY JAIL			16
	MINN.C.F, OAK PARK HGTS			1
	RAMSEY ADC ANNEX, SPM		4	17
	SHERBURNE COUNTY JAIL		1	65
MISSOURI	Total		1	15
	CALDWELL COUNTY JAIL		1	1
	CHRISTIAN CO SHERIFF DEPT			1
	LINCOLN COUNTY SHERIFF'S			1
	MISSISSIPPI COUNTY DETENTION CENTE			3
	MONTGOMERY COUNTY JAIL			3
	MORGAN COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT			3
	PLATTE COUNTY JAIL			3
MONTANA	Total			8
	BROADWATER COUNTY SHERIFF			1
	CASCADE COUNTY JAIL, MT			1
	HILL COUNTY JUSTICE CENTER			2
	JEFFERSON COUNTY JAIL			2
	SHERIDAN COUNTY JAIL			1
	TOOLE COUNTY			1
	Total	1		35
NEBRASKA	CASS COUNTY JAIL			9
	DOUGLAS COUNTY CORRECTION	1		19
	PHELPS COUNTY JAIL			6
	SARPY COUNTY JUVENILE			1
	Total	1	2	22
NEVADA	NORTH LAS VEGAS	1	2	21
	WASHOE COUNTY JAIL			1
	Total			12
NEW HAMPSHIRE	ROCKINGHAM COUNTY JAIL			2
	STRAFFORD CO. CORRECTION			10
	Total	17	45	375
NEW JERSEY	BERGEN COUNTY JAIL	3		39
	ELIZABETH CONTRACT D.F.	8	43	189
	ESSEX COUNTY JAIL			7
	HUDSON COUNTY JAIL	1	1	72
	MIDDLESEX COUNTY JAIL			23
	MONMOUTH COUNTY JAIL	2	1	31
	SUSSEX COUNTY JAIL	3		14
	Total		34	89
NEW MEXICO	OTERO COUNTY DETENTION			1
	OTERO COUNTY PRISON FACILITY		25	74
	TORRANCE/ESTANCIA, NM		9	14

(5) The location of each detainee by detention facility

State	Asylum Type Detention Facility	Affirmative 161	Credible Fear 8,491	Defensive 6,902
NEW YORK	Total	14	41	269
	ALBANY COUNTY JAIL			5
	ALLEGANY COUNTY JAIL		4	10
	BUFFALO SPC	1	25	81
	CATTARAUGUS COUNTY JAIL		1	
	CAYUGA COUNTY JAIL	1		4
	CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY JAIL		5	7
	CLINTON COUNTY JAIL			7
	COLOMBIA COUNTY JAIL			1
	ERIE CO HOLDING CENTER			5
	FRANKLIN COUNTY JAIL			1
	FULTON COUNTY JAIL			1
	GENESEE COUNTY JAIL			3
	JEFFERSON COUNTY JAIL			1
	MADISON COUNTY JAIL			3
	MONROE COUNTY JAIL			2
	NIAGARA COUNTY JAIL		1	1
	ONEIDA COUNTY JAIL			1
	ONONDAGA COUNTY JAIL			10
	ONTARIO COUNTY JAIL			15
	ORANGE CO JAIL, GOSHEN NY			7
	VARICK STREET SPC	12	5	96
	WASHINGTON COUNTY JAIL			2
	WAYNE COUNTY JAIL			6
NORTH CAROLINA	Total		5	10
	ALAMANCE CO. DET. FACILITY			5
	MECKLENBURG (NC) CO JAIL		5	5
NORTH DAKOTA	Total		1	36
	GRAND FORKS COUNTY CORREC		1	36
OHIO	Total	1	1	44
	BEDFORD HEIGHTS CITY			5
	BUTLER COUNTY JAIL	1	1	11
	MAHONING COUNTY JAIL			1
	MAPLE HEIGHTS CITY JAIL			6
	SENECA COUNTY JAIL			19
	OLON CITY JAIL			2
OKLAHOMA	Total			7
	OKLAHOMA COUNTY JAIL			2
	TULSA COUNTY JAIL			5
OREGON	Total			30
	COLUMBIA COUNTY JAIL			15
	JACKSON CO JAIL			2
	JACKSON COUNTY JUVENILE			1
	JOSEPHINE CO. JAIL			6
	KLAMATH CO JAIL			2
	LANE CO JAIL			3
	NORTHERN OREGON CORR.FAC.			1
PENNSYLVANIA	Total	6	21	323
	ALLEGHENY CO. JAIL			7
	BERKS COUNTY FAMILY SHELTER		19	63
	BERKS COUNTY JAIL, PA			4
	CAMBRIA COUNTY JAIL, PA		1	14
	CLINTON COUNTY CORR. FAC.	1		26
	COLUMBIA COUNTY JAIL			1
	ERIE COUNTY JAIL, PA			6
	LACKAWANA CNTY JAIL, PA			20
	MONTGOMERY CNTY JAIL, PA			1
	PHI DISTRICT OFFICE			1
	PIKE COUNTY JAIL	1		13

(5) The location of each detainee by detention facility

	Asylum Type	Affirmative	Credible Fear	Defensive
State	Detention Facility	161	8,491	6,902
	YORK COUNTY JAIL, PA	4	1	167

(5) The location of each detainee by detention facility

State	Asylum Type Detention Facility	Affirmative 161	Credible Fear 8,491	Defensive 6,902
PUERTO RICO	Total	10	40	51
	AQUADILLA SPC	5	36	39
	DHS/ICE/DRO	3	4	10
	GUAYNABO MDC (SAN JUAN)	2		2
RHODE ISLAND	Total			19
	WYATT DETENTION CENTER			19
SOUTH CAROLINA	Total			2
	COLUMBIA CARE CENTER			2
SOUTH DAKOTA	Total			19
	MINNEHAHA COUNTY JAIL			18
	PENNINGTON COUNTY JAIL SD			1
TENNESSEE	Total		1	14
	DAVIDSON CO. SHERIFF DEPT			8
	WESTERN TENNESSEE DET. FAC.		1	6
TEXAS	Total	19	5,983	1,707
	ABRAXAS-H GARCIA CENTER			1
	BAPTIST CHILD & FAMILY SERVICES		1	5
	BEDFORD CITY JAIL		3	4
	CATHOLIC CHARITIES (HOU)		2	9
	CHILDREN'S CENTER INC.			5
	CORPUS CHRISTI FACILITY			14
	DALLAS F.O. HOLD		2	1
	EL PASO SPC	2	162	123
	EULESS CITY JAIL	1	9	4
	FRIO COUNTY JAIL		2	
	HARLINGEN STAGING FACILITY	1	292	11
	HOUSTON CONTRACT DET. FAC.	5	45	81
	HOUSTON FO HOLDROOM		1	1
	HUTTO CCA		1,437	243
	IES SHELTER			83
	JEFFERSON COUNTY JAIL	1		6
	KARNES CTY CORR CTR		9	7
	LAREDO CONTRACT DET. FAC.		434	15
	LIMESTONE DET CENTER		7	
	LUBBOCK COUNTY JAIL			1
	LUTHERAN SOCIAL SEREVICES		6	6
	MONTGOMERY COUNTY JAIL		6	13
	POLK COUNTY JAIL	1	21	81
	PORT ISABEL SPC		191	83
	RANDALL COUNTY JAIL	1	9	2
	ROLLING PLAINS DETENTION CENTER	1	14	13
	SOUTH TEXAS DETENTION COMPLEX	2	1,891	649
	SOUTHWEST KEY - HOUSTON			1
	SOUTHWEST KEY CONROE		3	4
	SOUTHWEST KEY PROG. (JUV)		1	10
	VALLEY BAPTIST HOSPITAL		1	
	WACKENHUT FACILITY	1	15	11
	WEST OAKS HOSPITAL			1
	WEST TEXAS DETENTION FACILITY	1	19	41
	WILLACY COUNTY DETENTION CENTER	2	1,400	178
UTAH	Total			37
	SALT LAKE COUNTY JAIL			6
	SUMMIT COUNTY JAIL			2
	UTAH COUNTY JAIL			17
	WASHINGTON COUNTY JAIL			5
	WEBER COUNTY JAIL			7
VERMONT	Total		1	10
	FRANKLIN COUNTY JAIL, VT			6
	VT. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS		1	4

(5) The location of each detainee by detention facility

	Asylum Type	Affirmative	Credible Fear	Defensive
State	Detention Facility	161	8,491	6,902
VIRGINIA	Total	3	76	115
	ALEXANDRIA CITY JAIL		2	
	ARLINGTON CO JAIL		3	5
	CLARKE FRED'K WINCH.			2
	FAIRFAX CO JAIL		1	
	HAMPTON ROADS REGIONAL JAIL		11	19
	OTERO CO PROCESSING CENTER	2	17	44
	PAMUNKEY REG JAIL	1	14	12
	PIEDMONT REG JAIL		18	23
	PRINCE WILLIAM		1	
	RAPP SEC CENTER			2
	RIVERSIDE REG JAIL		4	2
	ROCKINGHAM CO. JAIL		2	1
	VIRGINIA BEACH		1	5
	WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE		2	
WASHINGTON	Total	3	20	139
	BENTON COUNTY			3
	CATHOLIC SOCIAL SERVICES		1	3
	CHELAN CO. REGIONAL JAIL			2
	LUTHERAN COMMUNITY SERVICES NW			1
	NORTHWEST DET. CENTER	3	18	126
	SEATTLE FIELD OFFICE HOLD ROOM			1
	YAKIMA COUNTY		1	
	YOUTHCARE			3
WEST VIRGINIA	Total			2
	SOUTH CENTRAL REGIONAL JAIL			2
WISCONSIN	Total		20	77
	DODGE COUNTY JAIL, JUNEAU		6	39
	KENOSHA COUNTY JAIL		14	38
WYOMING	Total	1		1
	NATRONA COUNTY JAIL	1		1

(7) The number and frequency of the transfers of detainees between detention facilities

Asylum Type	Affirmative		Credible Fear		Defensive	
Total	103	100.00%	4,604	100.00%	3,771	100.00%
1	69	66.99%	1,968	42.75%	2,427	64.36%
2	20	19.42%	1,824	39.62%	577	15.30%
3	8	7.77%	570	12.38%	365	9.68%
4	4	3.88%	159	3.45%	161	4.27%
5			50	1.09%	110	2.92%
6	2	1.94%	13	0.28%	58	1.54%
7			9	0.20%	35	0.93%
8			3	0.07%	16	0.42%
9			1	0.02%	9	0.24%
10			2	0.04%	1	0.03%
11			2	0.04%	2	0.05%
12					2	0.05%
14			1	0.02%		
15					2	0.05%
17					1	0.03%
20			1	0.02%	1	0.03%
22					1	0.03%
25					1	0.03%
28					1	0.03%
29			1	0.02%		
32					1	0.03%

(8) The average length of detention and the number of detainees by category of the length of detention

Asylum Type Length of Stay	Affirmative		Credible Fear		Defensive	
	Released	In Custody	Released	In Custody	Released	In Custody
Total	92	11	4,328	278	3,301	470
0 - 30 days	62	5	640		914	1
31 - 60 days	11	1	1,656		492	1
61 - 90 days	5	1	970	27	309	1
91 - 120 days	5		435	88	270	24
121 - 150 days	3	2	246	42	272	48
151 - 180 days	2		100	19	223	57
181 - 210 days	1		74	19	215	67
211 - 240 days	2		79	37	155	53
241 - 270 days			42	12	130	59
271 - 300 days		2	25	9	108	49
301 - 330 days			27	8	87	35
331 - 365 days	1		14		53	32
> 365 days			20	17	73	43

(9) The rate of release from detention of detainees in each Field Office's Area of Responsibility within U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Affirmative Asylum Cases													
Total		Release Reason											
Field Office Code	Detained	BOND	DEP	DETN	DIED	OR	OS	OTHER	PARO	USM	VD	WITH	XFER
Total	103	33	33	33	15		2	5	2	1	2	3	7
ATL	3				1								
BUF	2	1			1								
DAL	1	1											
DEN	3	2			1								
DET	2			1									
ELP	3			2	1								
HOU	6	4		1	1								
LOS	4	1		1				1					
MIA	32	3	19	5				2			1	1	1
NEW	8	4	2						1				
NOL	1		1										
NYC	13	7		3									
PHI	3	1		1		1							
PHO	2		1								1		
SEA	3	2	1										
SFR	10	5	2	1				2					
SLC	1						1						
SNA	4	2	1									1	
SND	1		1										
WAS	1							1					

BOND = released on Bond

DEP = released for removal from the United States

DETN = still detained at time of report

DIED = died while in custody

OR = released on recognizance

OS = released on an order of supervision

OTHER = release reason not indicated in the database

PARO = paroled into the United States

TERM = released, case terminated

USM = released to U.S. Marshals

VD = released for voluntary departure from the United States

WITH = released, alien withdraws application

XFER = transferred between facilities

(9) The rate of release from detention of detainees in each Field Offices Area of Responsibility within U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Credible Fear Cases													
Field Office Code	Total		Release Reason										
	Detained	BOND	DEP	DETN	DIED	OR	OS	OTHER	PARO	USM	VD	WITH	XFER
Total	4,606	1,512	1462	499		349	45	225	275	10	24	15	190
ATL	20	1	6	3					1	1		4	4
BAL	1												
BOS	3	1	1	1									
BUF	30	1	5	3			1		20				
CHI	35	1	10	1			2	7	13			1	
DAL	16		7	5				2		2			
DET	15	1	10	1				2					1
ELP	165	9	105	16		7	1	8	2	2	6	1	8
HOU	48		38	2		3					2	2	1
LOS	72	2	11	10		8	1	9	2		1	1	27
MIA	126		62	37		2	5	2		2	4	1	11
NEW	40		12	11				7	9			1	
NOL	47		45	1					1				
NYC	5		3	2									
PHI	20		4	11		2		1	2				
PHO	363	25	199	17		3			1		2		116
SEA	12	4	4	3								1	
SFR	25	8	5	6		3	1	1					1
SLC	2		2										
SNA	3160	1423	846	287		317	20	48	200	1	7	2	9
SND	362	35	81	77		1	10	135	8	2	1		12
SPM	5		1				1	1	2				
WAS	34	1	5	5		3	3	2	14		1		

BOND = released on Bond

DEP= released for removal from the United States

DETN = still detained at time of report

DIED = died while in custody

OR = released on recognizance

OS = released on an order of supervision

OTHER = release reason not indicated in the database

PARO = paroled into the United States

TERM = released, case terminated

USM = released to U.S. Marshals

VD= released for voluntary departure from the United States

WITH = released, alien withdraws application

XFER = transferred between facilities

(9) The rate of release from detention of detainees in each Field Office's Area of Responsibility within U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Defensive Asylum Cases													
Total		Release Reason											
Field Office Code	Detained	BOND	DEP	DETN	DIED	OR	OS	OTHER	PARO	USM	VD	WITH	XFER
Total	3,771	1,178	449	858	1	271	149	408	246	20	28	8	155
ATL	37	7	9	12					1		1	1	6
BAL	12	6	1	2			2			1			
BOS	49	8	4	25			4	4		1			
BUF	111	56	12	16		2	6	5	12	1			1
CHI	110	35	7	21		14	8	15	8	1			1
DAL	10	2	5	1				1	1				
DEN	20	9	1	5			2	3					
DET	61	21	8	16			3	4	1		5		3
ELP	120	19	26	40		15	5	10	1	3			1
HOU	127	28	34	23		32	5	2	1	1		1	1
LOS	188	28	10	44		11	13	19	7	1	1		54
MIA	283	45	60	141	1	7	12	6	1	2	6		2
NEW	235	59	33	47		3	7	46	33	2	3	2	
NOL	101	33	46	19			2			1			
NYC	75	24	8	27		3		2		2	2		7
PHI	117	32	7	24		9	7	11	25		1		1
PHO	301	46	70	79		30	6	11	1		2		56
SEA	111	38	8	34		3	2	19	1	2	3		1
SFR	146	62	19	23		10	6	12	2		1	1	10
SLC	38	16	3	11			4	2					2
SNA	1,060	533	42	147		114	20	68	130		1	2	3
SND	329	43	23	71		10	13	152	8	1	2		6
SPM	96	26	10	22		2	17	11	7	1			
WAS	34	2	3	8		4	5	5	7				

BOND = released on Bond
 DEP = released for removal from the United States
 DETN = still detained at time of report
 DIED = died while in custody
 OR = released on recognizance
 OS = released on an order of supervision
 OTHER = release reason not indicated in the database
 PARO = paroled into the United States
 TERM = released, case terminated
 USM = released to U.S. Marshals
 VD = released for voluntary departure from the United States
 WITH = released, alien withdraws application
 XFER = transferred between facilities

(10) A description of the disposition of cases

Asylum Type	Affirmative		Credible Fear		Defensive	
Case Disposition	Detained	Detained Percent	Detained	Detained Percent	Detained	Detained Percent
Total	103	100.00%	4,606	100.00%	3,771	100.00%
Died					1	0.03%
Granted asylum/other relief	10	9.71%	432	9.38%	677	17.95%
Pending	48	46.60%	2,470	53.63%	2,406	63.80%
Denied - Removed from the U.S.	42	40.78%	1,665	36.15%	629	16.68%
Denied - Voluntary Departure	2	1.94%	26	0.56%	54	1.43%
Withdrawal of Application	1	0.97%	13	0.28%	4	0.11%